INFLUENCE OF THE STEREOCHEMISTRY ON THE 1,2-DIALKENYLCYCLOBUTANOLS BEHAVIOUR : OXY-COPE VERSUS RETRO-ENE REARRANGEMENTS

J.P. BARNIER, J. OLLIVIER and J.SALAUN

Laboratoire des Carbocycles Associé au C.N.R.S.,

Institut de Chimie Moléculaire d'Orsay, Bât. 420, Université de Paris-Sud, 91405 ORSAY (France)

Summary: From cyclobutanones **5a-j**,ten l,2-divinylcyclobutanols **la-j** were prepared. A stereochemical effect was clearly evidenced : while trans l,2-divinylcyclobutanols underwent a retro-ene ring opening, the cis isomers underwent an oxy-Cope ring enlargement.

It is well known that *cis* 1,2-divinylcyclobutanes undergo thermal [3.3] sigmatropic rearrangement into *cis*, *cis* 1,5-cyclooctadienes (Cope rearrangement) ¹ while the corresponding *trans* isomers react predominantly via [1.3] shift process to provide 4-vinylcyclohexenes ². On the other hand, it has been recently claimed that both *cis* and *trans* 1,2-dialkenylcyclobutanols 1 undergo upon treatment with potassium hydride (KH), anionic oxy-Cope C₄-> C₈ ring expansion to give 4-cycloocten-1-ones 2 in good yields ³, opening up new ways to cyclooctanoid terpenes such as poitediol or dactylol ⁴. No products resulting from [1.3] rearrangements were detected in these reactions ³. Initial *trans* - *cis* isomerization was invoked, as previously suggested by Berson ⁵, to explain the surprising ring expansion of *trans* 1,2-divinylcyclobutanols ⁴. Analogously, *cis* and *trans* homologous five-, six-, seven-, nine-and ten-membered 1,2-divinylcycloalkanols have been reported to provide 5-cycloalken-1-ones resulting from a four carbons ring enlargement ⁶.



We report that contrary to the previous claim ³, the rearrangement of l,2-dialkenylcyclobutanols 1 is highly depending on the *cis* or *trans* relationship of the two vinyl groups. We have recently disclosed a regio- and stereospecific way to racemic as well as optically active 2-alkenylcyclobutanones ^{7,8} highly competitive with the reaction of α -heterosubstituted cyclopropyllithium reagents with an enone or enal ⁹ or with the cycloaddition of vinylketenes to olefins ¹⁰. Thus, addition of vinylic organometallic reagents to the readily available silylated 1-hydroxycyclopropanecarboxaldehyde or (1-hydroxycyclopropyl)methyl ketone ^{7,11} led to the cyclopropylvinylcarbinols **4a-f**, which underwent trifluoroborane etherate (BF3-Et₂O) induced C₃ -> C₄ ring expansion into the 2-vinylcyclobutanones **5a-f** in high yields ^{7,8}. Then addition of vinyl- or prop-2-enylmagnesium bromides to **5a** (R₂ = H) and to **5b** (R₂ = Me) gave the 1,2-dialkenylcyclobutanols **1a-c**. However, these four-membered rings appeared to be very labile and underwent upon purification (1.c., SiO₂) or on standing in C₆D₆ at r.t., ready retro-ene ring opening (also termed β -hydroxy olefin cleavage or [1.5] hydrogen

shift) into the 1,6-octadien-3-ones **3a-c** respectively, with yields from **5a,b** superior to 90%. Such a retro-ene process had been observed previously but at rather higher temperatures ¹²; thus for instance, when a mixture of *trans* and *cis* (ratio 7:3) l-ethyl-2-vinyl-1,2-cyclobutanediols **6** was heated in sealed tube at 220°C for 15 mn the 3,6-octanedione **7** (from retro-ene ring opening) and the 2-vinyl-2-ethylcyclobutanone **8** (from pinacolic transposition), where obtained (ratio 5:5), while the dione **7** was formed quantitatively upon heating **6** at 315°C for 2 h in the gas phase ¹³.Therefore the lability of the 1,2-divinylcyclobutanols **Ia-c** implies a dramatic substituent effect on the behaviour of the vinylcyclobutane system (compare the stability of **Ia-c** and **6**).



Vinylation of 5d prepared by BF₃-Et₂O induced ring expansion of the suitable cyclopropylvinylcarbinol 4d 7,8, provided a 9:1 mixture of *trans* - 1d and *cis* 2-(prop-1-enyl)-1-vinylcyclobutanol le, which have been separated by 1.c. (CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O : 97/3, SiO₂). Upon treatment with KH in THF at r.t., or on heating in C₆H₆ at 40°C, the major *trans* isomer 1d underwent total retro-ene ring opening into the E 1,6-nonadien-3-one 3d, exclusively ; while under the same conditions its *cis* isomer le underwent total oxy-Cope ring expansion into the 6-methyl-4-cycloocten-1-one 2e, exclusively in 78% yield. On the other hand, vinylation of 5f, product of ring expansion of the cyclopropylvinylcarbinol 4f, gave a 3:7 mixture of *trans*-1f and *cis* 2-methyl-2-(prop-1-enyl)-1-vinyl cyclobutanol lg in 83% yield. As recently suggested the presence of the methyl group on the four-membered ring favored the stereoproximal to stereodistal ratio 14. Upon standing in C₆D₆ at r.t. the *trans* (distal) 1,2-divinylcyclobutanol lf underwent slow retro-ene ring opening, totally within one week as monitored by t.l.c., to provide the 6-methyl-1,6-nonadien-3-one 3f; while the *cis* (proximal) isomer lg, upon standing in C₆D₆ at 40°C led, to the 4,6-dimethyl-4-cycloocten-1-one 2g ¹⁴.

Addition of phenylethynylmagnesium bromide to 5d yielded a 5:5 mixture of *trans* 1h and *cis* 1-(2-phenylethynyl)-2-(prop-1-enyl) cyclobutanol 1i, readily separated by l.c. $(CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O : 97/3, SiO_2)$. Upon heating in C₆H₆ at 50°C for 24 h or upon treatment with KH in THF at r.t. for 15 mn, the *cis* cyclobutanol li underwent ring expansion into the 5-methyl-3-phenyl-2,5-cyclooctadien-1-one 2i, exclusively as monitored by t.l.c.; while, unrearranged under these conditions, the *trans* isomer 1h underwent total ring opening into the (2-ethynylphenyl) 3-hexenyl ketone 3h on further heating in refluxing benzene for 24 h.

Addition of 5-methyl-l-cyclopentenyllithium ¹⁵ to 2,2-dimethyl-l-siloxycyclopropanecarboxaldehyde (prepared from 2,2-dimethylsuccinate according to ref. 11) yielded the cyclopropylvinylcarbinol 4j and on subsequent BF₃-Et₂O induced ring expansion the cyclobutanone 5j which was treated with prop-2-enylmagnesium bromide to lead to the cyclobutanol 1j. In spite of many attempts *i.e.*, treatment with KH ³, with (Me₃Si)₂NK ¹⁶, ... under various conditions, 1j did not undergo the anionic oxy-Cope rearrangement into the cyclopentacyclooctenone 2j, a suitable precursor of precapnelladiene ¹⁷. Once again the expected C₄ -> C₈ ring expansion was precluded by the *trans* relationship of the two vinyl groups of 1j.



1) E. Vogel, Ann., 1958, 615, 1.

2) G.S. Hammond and C.D. de Boer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, **86**, 899 ; D.J. Treckker and J.P. Henry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, **86**, 902. J.A. Berson and P.B. Dervan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, **95**, 267, 269.

3) R.C. Gadwood and R.M. Lett, J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 2268.

4) R.C. Gadwood, R.M. Lett and J.E. Wissinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 3869 ; 1986, 108, 6343.

5) J.A. Berson and P.B. Dervan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 8949.

6) E.N. Marvell and W. Whalley, Tetrahedron Lett., 1970, 509; T. Kato, H. Kondo, M. Nishino, M. Tanaka, G. Hata and A. Miyake, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1980, 53, 2958.

7) J. Ollivier and J. Salaün, Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 1269 ; J.P. Barnier, B. Karkour and J. Salaün, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm., 1985, 1270.

8) J. Salaün and B. Karkour, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 4669 ; 1988, 29, 1537 ; J. Salaün, B. Karkour and J. Ollivier, Tetrahedron, in press. 9) R.C. Gadwood, M.R. Rubino, S.C. Nagarajan and S.T. Michel, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 3255 and

references cited therein.

10) R.L. Danheiser, C. Martinez-Davila and H. Sard, Tetrahedron, 1981, 37, 3943; D.A. Jackson, M. Rev and A.S. Dreiding, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1983, 66, 2230; Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 24, 4817.

11) J. Salaün and Y. Almirantis, Tetrahedron, 1983, 39, 2421 ; J.P. Barnier and J. Salaün, Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 1273; J. Ollivier and J. Salaün, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm., 1985, 1269.

12) A. Viola, E.J. Iorio, K. Chen, G.M. Glover, V. Nayak and P.J. Kiocienski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967. 89, 3462; P. Leriverend and J.M. Conia, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1970, 1040.

13) J.P. Barnier and J.M. Conia, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1975, 1659.

14) S.A. Miller and R.C. Gadwwod, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 2214.
15) W. Barth and L.A. Paquette, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 2438 ; N.E. Schore and M.J. Kundsen, J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 569.

16) L.A. Paquette and M.A. Poupart, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 273,

17) W.A. Kinney, M.J. Coghlan and L.A. Paquette, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 6868 ; 1985, 107, 7352.

18) R. Bloch, Tetrahedron, 1983, 39, 639.

19) P. Dubs and R. Stüssi, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1978, **61**, 990. 20) Id IR (CCl₄) 3600, 3100, 1640 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 250 MHz (C₆D₆): 5.95 (dd, J = 16, 12 Hz, 1H), 5.5 (m, 2H), 5.25 (dd, J = 16, 2 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (dd, J = 12, 2 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (m, 1H), 2.0 (m, 3H), 1.80 (m, 2H), 1.44 (d, J = 6 Hz, 3H). CIMS (NH₃) m/e (rel. int.) : 138 (100), 129 (100). MS m/e (rel. int.) 123 (6.7), 109 (35.5), 95 (28.8), 81 (14.4), 68 (34.6), 55 (100), 41 (38.4).

21) le IR (CCl₄) 3600, 3100, 1640 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 250 MHz (C₆D₆): 5.92 (dd, J = 20, 12 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (m, 2H), 5.22 (dd, J = 20, 2 Hz, IH), 5.02 (dd, J = 12, 2 Hz, IH), 3.12 (m, IH), 1.95(m, 3H), 1.80 (m, 2H), 1.6 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H). CIMS (NH₃) m/e (rel. int.) : 138 (100), 109 (100).

22) **3a** IR (CCl₄) 1710, 1690, 1620 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 250 MHz (C₆D₆): 6.30 (m, 2H), 5.85 (dd, J = 10, 2Hz), 5.42 (m, 2H), 2.65 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2 H), 2.38 (m, 2H), 1.62 (d, J = 6 Hz, 3H). MS m/e (rel, int.) : 124 (M⁺, 124 M⁺) = 124 (M⁺) = 1 1), 41 (30), 55 (100), 69 (17).

23) 3c ¹H NMR 250 MHz (C₆D₆) : 5.45 (s, 1H), 5.22 (s, 1H), 5.12 (m, 1H), 2.35 (m, 4H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.50 (s, 3H).

24) 2e IR (CCl₄) 1700, 1450 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 250 MHz (C₆D₆): 5.5 - 5.3 (m, 2H), 3.3 (m, 1H), 2.10 (m, 3H), 1.62 (m, 2H), 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.10 (m, 1H), 0.80 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H). MS m/e (rel. int.) : 138 (3), 123 (33.8), 110 (75.7), 95 (57.5), 81 (71.2), 68 (80.8), 67 (100).

25) 3f IR (CCl₄) 1710, 1690, 1620 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 250 MHz (CDCl₃): 6.30 (m, 2H), 5.85 (dd, J = 8, 2 Hz, IH), 5.90 (m, IH), 2.65 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2 H), 2.35 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 2.00 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 0.95 (t, J = 6 Hz, 3H).

26) 3h IR (CCl₄) 2200,1715, 1670 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 250 MHz (CDCl₃): 7.3 - 7.6 (m, 5H), 5.25 - 5.6 (m, 2H), 2.6 - 2.8 (m, 2H), 2.4 - 2.6 (m, 2H), 1.9 - 2.15 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H). MS :m/e (rel. int.) : 212 (M⁺, 2.8), 155 (34), 144 (22.8), 141 (26), 129 (100), 75 (24.2).

27) 2i IR (CCl₄) : 1665, 1620 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 250 MHz (CDCl₃) : 7.2 - 7.45 (m, 5H), 6 (s, 1H), 5.55 - 5.8 (m, 2H), 4.3 (dq, J = 7,7 Hz, 1H), 3.2 - 3.38 (m, 1H), 2.37 - 2.8 (m, 3H), 1.05 (d, J = Hz, 3H). MS : m/e (rel. int.): 212 (M⁺, 56.4), 169 (80.6), 156 (46), 155 (100), 144 (53.4), 141 (56.4), 115 (55.4), 91 (74.3), 77 (67).

28) 5j IR (CCl₄): 1785, l620 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 250 MHz (CDCl₃): 5.7 (m, lH), 3.6 (m, lH), 3 - 1 (m, 7H), 1.5 (s, 6H), 1.1 (d, J = 4 Hz, 3H). MS : m/e (rel. int.) : 178 (M, 6.5), 136 (89), 122 (52), 121 (100).

(Received in France 13 February 1989)